

To the Executive Director, Debra Howland

Regarding Docket No. DG14-380

Dear Director Howland,

I am a resident of New Ipswich, NH. I am writing to ask you to fairly review the needs and concerns of the citizens of Southern New Hampshire in regards to the proposed Kinder-Morgan Pipeline. We will receive no benefit from this pipeline. We will suffer the consequences of the destruction of our properties during it's construction. We have already seen a dead stop in real estate sales in our town due to the pipeline. Although it is highly unlikely but not improbable that this pipeline could rupture and do a great deal of damage to individuals and property, the risk is not worth it. There are existing pipeline routes that could be utilized.

Kinder-Morgan has not to date been able to provide the public with a definite plan for it's construction or the size of pipe it will be using, yet plans for construction move forward. Impact studies have not been made available in a timely manner. It is unfair that a decision could be made that will affect our part of the state forever without us being able to reasonably see the data to the long term effects of this project.

Fracking is prohibited in several states in the NE. Is there really a future in investing in infrastructure for this technology. Would our money not be better spent in renewable energy technologies. Those of us that have utilized solar technologies have seen real savings in doing so. Shouldn't we send a clear message to the citizens of New Hampshire that we are looking forward to the future of cleaner energy and not backwards to questionable and dirty fossil fuels. Are the citizens of New Hampshire going to have to pay for the pipeline by giving up their land and suffer rate hikes to pay for it as well? Really? One wonders if Kinder-Morgan is going to have to invest any money in this project at all. Not one person in this town will benefit from the gas moving through this pipeline.

Perhaps the political individuals that have to date not

stood up and supported the wishes of their constituency
perceive some benefit in doing so but I suspect that might
change come election day.

It is clear to everyone that this pipeline follows what they
considered to be a path of least resistance. The Governor
of Massachusetts made it clear that he was going to
support the inclination of the voters of that state, and
suddenly the plan changed. There is a huge number of
miles of pipeline to accommodate that change. Just
looking at the proposed route of this pipeline should be
enough to make one question its economic viability and
question who is going to profit and at who's expense.

And most importantly, the need for this fuel has not been
established, except to sell to foreign markets.

Please consider the negative and irreparable impact to the
citizens of New Ipswich and New Hampshire. And I haven't
even mentioned the compressor station.

Regards, Kleta Dudley